This article was downloaded by: On: 22 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Chen, Guang , Wei, Shi-Hu , Huang, Jin and Sun, Jia(2009) 'A novel C-glycosylflavone from the leaves of *Diospyros kaki*', Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 11: 6, 503 — 507 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10286020902911512 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020902911512

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



A novel C-glycosylflavone from the leaves of Diospyros kaki

Guang Chen*, Shi-Hu Wei, Jin Huang and Jia Sun

College of Life Science and Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China

(Received 10 January 2009; final version received 19 March 2009)

A novel C-glycosylflavone with unusual α -orientation at the anomeric center of D-glucose was isolated from the leaves of *Diospyros kaki*. Its structure was determined as 8-C-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 4$)]- α -D-glucopyranosylapigenin by chemical methods and spectral experiments.

Keywords: Ebenaceae; Diospyros kaki; C-glycosylflavone

1. Introduction

The genus *Diospyros* belongs to family Ebenaceae and comprises about 500 species distributed in the tropical and temperate zones. Although many studies about quinone compounds in the *Diospyros* plants have been reported, there are few reports about constituents in leaves in spite of medicinal uses [1].

Diospyros kaki is widely distributed in East Asia, whose leaves are a traditional plant medicine used for the treatment of hypertension, angina, and internal hemorrhage [2]. It was also reported that in Japan and Korea, the leaves of D. kaki are used as health food (persimmon leaf tea) to promote maternal health [3]. Previous phytochemical studies on this plant revealed the presence of triterpenoids, flavonoids, and phenolic compounds [4,5]. As part of our continuous search for potentially active substances from the leaves of D. kaki, we described herein the isolation and structural elucidation of a novel C-glycosylflavone, 8-C-[α-L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$]- α -D-glucopyranosylapigenin (1) together with the six known compounds 2"-O-rhamnosyl vitexin (2), kaempferol-3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (3), myricetin-3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (4), myricetin-3-O- β -D-gluco-pyranoside(5), blumeol C glucoside (6), and byzantionoside B (7) from the *n*-BuOH extract of persimmon leaves.

2. Results and discussion

The leaves of D. kaki were extracted with 70% EtOH and then concentrated. The concentrated extract was suspended in water and partitioned with CHCl₃ and n-BuOH, successively. Since the flavonoid and triterpenoid compounds are two kinds of main constituents in persimmon leaves, the n-BuOH extract was then chromatographed by polyamide and eluted with 10 and 70% MeOH to give non-flavonoid and phenolic extracts. The phenolic extract was further separated by repeated column chromatography on silica gel, reversedphase silica gel, Sephadex LH-20, HPLC, and preparative TLC to afford compounds 1 - 7.

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: chenguang@mail.buct.edu.cn

G. Chen et al.

Compound 1 was obtained as yellow needles and gave positive reactions with FeCl₃ and HCl-Mg reagents. Its HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular-ion peak at m/z579.1721 ($[M+H]^+$) indicating the molecular formula C₂₇H₃₀O₁₄. Acid hydrolysis of 1 followed by TLC analysis of the hydrolysate and direct comparison with authentic sugars indicated the presence of rhamnose. Its IR spectrum showed absorption bands of hydroxyl group (3396 cm^{-1}) and carbonyl group (1678 cm^{-1}) . These evidences, together with UV absorption maxima at 310, 269, and 240 nm, suggested a glycosylflavone structure of compound 1.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** presented three phenol protons around $\delta_{\rm H}$ 13.0 and 10.5, six aromatic signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.85 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.90 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.75 (1H, s), and 6.14 (1H, s) were also observed. ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **1** gave five oxygenated carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 164.2, 163.4, 161.6, and 160.4. Meanwhile, the signal of C-6 position at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 99.5 and 12 sugar signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 98.5–17.7 including three characteristic C-glycosylflavone sugar signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.5, 80.9, and 78.9 were also observed. When its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data were compared with those of 2"-O-rhamnosyl vitexin [6], 8-C-(4"-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)- β -D-glucopyranosylapigenin [7], and other C-glycosylflavone [8], a C-8substituted C-glycosylapigenin structure could be deduced. On the basis of acid hydrolysis results and spectral data comparison, the sugar units were assigned as rhamnose and glucose.

Although the signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.84 (1H, d, $J = 2.3 \,{\rm Hz}$) in the ¹H NMR spectrum is significantly downfield than normal anomeric proton of glucose, it could still be observed after D₂O exchange experiment. In the HMQC spectrum of compound **1**, the anomeric proton correlated with the carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.9, this anomeric proton also showed cross-peaks with the carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 103.4 and 72.0 in the HMBC experiment. Thus, the glucose should be

attached at C-8 position, this is also in accordance with the acid hydrolysis result that no D-glucose was obtained. Since the coupling constant of anomeric proton is 2.3 Hz, α -orientation at the anomeric center of D-glucose was determined. The sugar linkage between rhamnose (C-1''), whose anomeric proton was observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.61 (1H, brs) in ¹H NMR spectrum, and glucose (C-4") was further identified through HMQC, HMBC cross-peaks (Figure 1), and data comparison with the literature data [7]. Finally, the structure of compound 1 was assigned as 8-C-[α -Lrhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$]- α -D-glucopyranosylapigenin. Up to now, there is no Cglycosylflavone with α -orientation at the anomeric center obtained from nature, and this is the first report about this novel compound isolated from family Ebenaceae and it could be considered as a chemotaxonomic marker for the genus Diospyros.

Six known compounds, 2"-O-rhamnosyl vitexin (2) [6], kaempferol-3-O- α -Lrhamnopyranoside (3) [8], myricetin-3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (4) [8], myricetin-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (5) [8], blumeol C glucoside (6) [9], and byzantionoside B (7) [9], were also isolated and identified by comparison of their spectral data and TLC behaviors with those of the authentic samples and reported spectroscopic data, of which compounds 6 and 7 were isolated from genus *Diospyros* for the first time.

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

Melting points were measured on Yanaco micro-hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter. UV spectra were obtained on a UV-1201 Shimadzu spectrometer. Bruker IFS 55 performed the IR spectrum. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker-ARX-600 spectrometer. HR-ESI-MS were taken on a Bruker APEXII FT-ICR MS spectrometer. HPLC instrument was



Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1–7 and key HMBC correlations of compound 1.

equipped with an ODS column $(250 \times 20 \text{ mm})$ from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Uppsala, Sweden). The chromatographic silica gel (200-300 mesh) and polyamide (100-140 mesh) were produced by Qingdao Ocean Chemical Factory (Qingdao, China); Sephadex LH-20 was purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. ODS-A (50 µm) was produced by YMC Co. Ltd (Osaka, Japan). TLC analysis was performed on silica gel 60 F_{254} (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). All other chemicals and solvents used in this study were of reagent grade.

3.2 Plant material

The leaves of *D. kaki* were collected in Xingtai, Hebei Province of China, in August 2006. The plant was identified by Prof. Xu

G. Chen et al.

Chunquan of Department of Natural Medicines, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, and a voucher specimen (No. 060801) has been deposited in College of Life Science and Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing.

3.3 Extraction and isolation

Dried leaves of D. kaki (15 kg) were cut into small pieces and extracted with 70% EtOH under reflux. After removal of the solvent by evaporation, the combined extracts (1500 g) were suspended in H₂O and partitioned with CHCl₃ and *n*-BuOH, successively. The n-BuOH extract was chromatographed on polyamide using MeOH-H₂O (10 and 70%) as eluent, to obtain non-flavonoid and phenolic extracts. Then the phenolic extract (98g) was concentrated and separated by silica gel chromatography eluting with CHCl₃-MeOH gradient (50:1, 30:1, 10:1, 3:1, and 1:1) to yield nine fractions (1-9). Fraction 2 (11.4 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 chromatography eluting with MeOH-H₂O (10, 30, 50, and 70%) to give fractions I-IV. Fraction III (3.8 g) was further separated by HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 45:55) and purified by preparative TLC (CHCl₃-EtOAcmethyl ethyl ketone-MeOH, 5:1:1:1) to afford 6 (10.1 mg) and 7 (11.3 mg). Fraction 4 (10.5 g) was further separated by polyamide chromatography and eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH gradient (30:1, 20:1, 10:1, and 5:1) to give 3 (9.2 mg), 4 (7.5 mg), and 5 (9.6 mg). Fraction 6 (15.1 g) was chromatographed on ODS column using a mixture of MeOH-H₂O (10, 30, 50, and 70%) as eluent to give fractions I-IV. Fractions II (2.1 g) and IV (6.8 g) were subjected to Sephadex LH-20 to yield 1 (12.3 mg) and 2 (78.9 mg), respectively.

3.3.1 Compound 1

Yellow needles (12.3 mg); mp 231– 232°C; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +14.5 (*c* = 0.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε): 310 (3.58), 269 (2.95), 240 (2.78) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3396 (OH), 2930 (CH), 1678 (C=O), 1467 (C=C) cm⁻¹; (+) ESI-MS: *m/z* 579 (100) [M+H]⁺; HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 579.1721 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₇H₃₁O₁₄, 579.1714); ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 150 MHz) spectral data, see Table 1.

3.3.2 Compounds 2–7

Their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data were identical with those published in the literature.

3.3.3 Acid hydrolysis of compound 1

Compound **1** (3 mg) was refluxed with 15% HCl–MeOH (4 ml) at 80°C for 4 h. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated and

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of compound 1 in DMSO- d_6 .

Position	$\delta_{ m H}$	δ_{C}
C-2	_	161.6
C-3	6.75 (1H, s)	104.3
C-4	_	182.3
C-5	_	160.4
C-6	6.14 (1H, s)	99.5
C-7	_	160.4
C-8	_	103.4
C-9	_	163.4
C-10	_	103.4
C-1′	_	121.9
C-2′, 6′	7.85 (2H, d, $J = 8.6$ Hz)	128.9
C-4′	_	164.2
C-3′, 5′	6.90 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz)	116.5
5-OH	13.0 (1H, s)	-
7-OH	10.5 (1H, brs)	-
4'-OH	10.5 (1H, brs)	-
C-1″	5.84 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz)	78.9
C-2″	2.92 (1H, m)	72.0
C-3″	3.84 (1H, m)	75.2
C-4″	4.32 (1H, m)	80.9
C-5″	4.21 (1H, brd, $J = 7.2$ Hz)	81.5
C-6″	3.51 (1H, brs), 3.63 (1H,	64.4
	brd, $J = 7.2 \text{Hz}$)	
C-1‴	4.61 (1H, brs)	98.5
C-2"	3.50 (1H, m)	71.0
C-3'''	3.41 (1H, m)	70.7
C-4‴	2.81 (1H, m)	71.6
C-5‴	2.13 (1H, m)	68.8
C-6'''	0.58 (3H, brs)	17.7

the residue partitioned with CHCl₃– H_2O . The presence of L-rhamnose in this mixture was established by comparison with authentic samples. The HP-TLC in the solvent system MeCOEt-*i*PrOH–Me₂CO–H₂O (20:10:7:6) resulted in the R_f 0.26.

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by Young Teacher Grant from Beijing University of Chemical Technology (QN0615).

References

- [1] U.V. Mallavadhani, A.K. Panda, and Y.R. Rao, *Phytochemistry* **49**, 901 (1998).
- [2] G. Chen and S.X. Xu, J. Shenyang Pharm. Univ. 18, 69 (2001).

- [3] J. Han, S. Kang, R. Chou, H. Kim, K. Lee, S. Chung, C. Kim, and J. Chung, *Fitoterapia* **73**, 710 (2002).
- [4] G. Chen, S.X. Xu, H.Z. Wang, and R.Q. Zhang, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 7, 265 (2005).
- [5] G. Chen, J. Xue, S.X. Xu, and R.Q. Zhang, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 9, 347 (2007).
- [6] R.H. Liu and B.Y. Yu, J. Chin. Med. Mater. 29, 1169 (2006).
- [7] Z. Ali, V.U. Ahmad, M.S. Ali, F. Iqbal, M. Zahid, and N. Alam, *Nat. Prod. Res.* 13, 121 (1999).
- [8] D.Q. Yu and J.S. Yang, *Handbook of Analytical Chemistry* (Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, 2002), Vol. 7, pp. 816.
- [9] Y. Takeda, H.J. Zhang, T. Masuda, G. Honda, H. Otsuka, E. Sezik, E. Yesilada, and H.D. Sun, *Phytochemistry* 44, 1335 (1997).